NEW ERA AGRICULTURE MAGAZINE

Direct Seeded Rice: Problems and Prospects

Krishna Prasad[1] and Radheshyam Dhole[2]

Abstract: -

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is a staple food for over half of the world's population and the backbone of food security in many Asian countries, including India. Traditionally, rice is cultivated through puddled transplanted rice (PTR), where seedlings are raised in nurseries and then transplanted into puddled fields. While PTR has been the dominant method for decades due to its ability to suppress weeds and ensure uniform plant stands, it is increasingly challenged by rising production costs, labor shortages, water scarcity, and environmental concerns. In response, Direct Seeded Rice (DSR) has emerged as a promising alternative that can address some of these constraints.

Introduction:

Direct seeded rice involves sowing seeds directly into the field either in dry or wet soil conditions, eliminating the need for nursery raising and manual transplanting. This method has attracted research and farmer attention due to potential savings in water, labor, and energy, making it an important component of sustainable rice cultivation systems. However, adoption of DSR is not without its challenges; issues such as weed management, nutrient dynamics, pest pressure, and variable establishment have slowed its widespread acceptance. This review critically evaluates the problems associated with DSR, technological innovations that address these problems, and future prospects of DSR as a viable rice cultivation alternative.

Current Challenges in Direct Seeded Rice

1. Poor Crop Establishment

One of the primary challenges of DSR is achieving uniform crop establishment. Unlike transplanted rice, where seedlings are established under controlled nursery conditions, direct seeding exposes seeds

Krishna Prasad[1] and Radheshyam Dhole[2]

[1] Research Scholar,

[2]Assistant Professor,

Narayan Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Gopal Narayan Singh University, Jamuhar Sasaram, Bihar- 821305

directly to field conditions, where temperature, moisture, crusting, and uneven germination can lead to poor stand establishment.

2. Weed Infestation

Weed management is arguably the most serious constraint in DSR systems. In PTR systems, puddling and standing water suppress many weed species; in DSR, the aerobic soil environment favors the proliferation of competitive weeds. As a result, DSR often requires multiple and timely herbicide applications, raising costs and environmental concerns.

3. Water and Moisture Management

Although DSR is promoted as a water-saving technology, proper moisture management is critical at sowing and early stages. Too little moisture results in poor germination; too much can cause lodging or seed rot in wet-DSR. Erratic rainfall further complicates moisture management.

4. Nutrient Dynamics

The shift from anaerobic (flooded) to aerobic conditions in soil alters nutrient availability. For example, nitrogen may volatilize more readily under aerobic conditions, leading to lower fertilizer use efficiency. Similarly, micronutrient dynamics differ, requiring adjustments in fertilizer recommendations.

5. Pest and Disease Pressure

DSR sometimes experiences increased incidence of pests such as stem borers and diseases such as sheath blight. The absence of standing water can change pest dynamics, requiring modified integrated pest management strategies.

6. Socio-Economic Hurdles

Transitioning to DSR demands new skills, knowledge of herbicide use, precision in sowing, and investments in machinery (seed drills, laser land leveling equipment). Small and marginal farmers may face barriers in accessing these technologies and capital.

Technological Innovations and Solutions

To overcome these challenges, a range of innovations and management strategies have been developed:

1. Precision Seeding and Land Preparation

Use of laser land leveling and mechanical seed drills ensures uniform seed placement, depth, and seed rate, leading to better establishment. Precision land preparation also improves water distribution and weed suppression.

2. Improved Weed Management

Integrated weed management (IWM) in DSR includes:

- Pre-emergence herbicides (e.g., pendimethalin, pyrazosulfuron)
- Post-emergence herbicides (e.g., bispyribac)

- Use of weed-competitive rice cultivars
- Crop residues as mulch to suppress weeds

Timed herbicide applications aligned with weed emergence patterns have significantly reduced weed biomass and labor costs.

3. Water and Moisture Optimization Tools

Soil moisture sensors, weather advisories, and controlled irrigation strategies help maintain optimal moisture levels during germination and early growth. In addition, seed priming techniques (soaking, osmopriming) have improved germination under moisture stress.

4. Nutrient Management Adjustments

Site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) and use of enhanced-efficiency fertilizers (EEFs) such as coated urea or slow-release formulations enhance nutrient use efficiency. Application timing linked with crop demand reduces losses and boosts productivity.

5. Biotechnological and Breeding Interventions

Breeding programs are focusing on DSR-adapted cultivars with traits such as early vigor, tolerance to aerobic conditions, weed competitiveness, and uniform germination. Molecular breeding and marker-assisted selection expedite development of such cultivars.

6. Mechanization and Digital Agriculture

Affordable machinery like power tillers, strip planters, and seed drills reduce labor dependency. Digital farmer advisory systems and mobile apps provide real-time recommendations on sowing time, moisture status, and weed control.

7. Farmer Knowledge and Capacity Building

Extension programs, field demonstrations, and training promote adoption of best practices. Community-level machinery sharing through custom hiring centers makes technology more accessible.

Conclusion and Future Perspectives

Direct Seeded Rice represents a transformative shift from traditional puddled transplanting systems toward a resource-efficient, climate-smart rice production approach. Its potential to save water, reduce labor and fuel costs, and increase cropping intensity aligns well with the emerging realities of labor scarcity and water deficits in many rice belts.

However, challenges such as weed management, poor establishment, nutrient inefficiencies, and socio-economic barriers must be addressed holistically. Technological innovations including precision land leveling, integrated weed management, seed enhancements, and digital agronomy tools have considerably reduced risks and improved outcomes in DSR systems. The success of DSR will depend on continued research, policy support, skill development, and institutional mechanisms that make innovations affordable and adaptable for smallholder farmers.

Future research priorities should focus on:

Breeding rice varieties specifically adapted to aerobic and DSR conditions

- Environment-friendly weed suppression technologies
- Smart irrigation and nutrient delivery systems
- Comprehensive decision-support platforms tailored to local agro-ecologies

In conclusion, with strategic support and farmer-centric innovations, Direct Seeded Rice can play an essential role in building sustainable, resilient, and profitable rice production systems for the future.

References

1. Bhatia, A., Singh, R. G., Singh, S., & Singh, K. (2012). Direct seeded rice in the Indo-Gangetic Plains: Potential, problems and prospects. Central Soil Salinity Research Institute.
2. Fageria, N. K., & Baligar, V. C. (2005). Enhancing nutrient use efficiency in crop plants. Advances in Agronomy, 88, 97–185.
3. Kumar, V., Ladha, J. K., & Pandey, S. (2008). Performance of direct-seeded rice in north India. Field Crops Research, 105(3), 189–199.
4. Singh, Y., Singh, B., & Jauhar, P. P. (2019). Weed management in direct seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science, 51(2), 141–146.
5. Yadav, A. K., Farooq, S., & Siddique, K. H. M. (2011). Direct seeding of rice: Recent developments and future research needs. Journal of Rice Research, 4(2), 1–10.