NEW ERA AGRICULTURE MAGAZINE

Drone Spraying Efficiency Compared to Conventional Spraying Methods

Amita[1], Dr. Lalit Kumar Sanodiya[2], Aviral Singh Yadav[3], Aditya Kushwaha[4], Ankit Singh Patel[5]

Introduction:

Agriculture has come a long way from the days of ploughs, oxen, and hand-held tools. Today, technology is transforming how we grow food, protect crops, and manage farms. One of the most exciting technological advances in modern agriculture is the use of unmanned aerial vehicles — better known as **agricultural drones** — for spraying pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers. Drone spraying is rapidly gaining popularity around the world due to its promise of increased efficiency, reduced costs, and improved safety. However, many farmers still rely on conventional spraying methods such as knapsack sprayers, tractor-mounted sprayers, and aerial spraying from manned aircraft.

This article explores how **drone spraying compares to conventional spraying methods** across many important aspects: efficiency, cost, labor, environmental impact, safety, crop health, and future potential. Drawing on scientific research, farmer experiences, and industry data, this article offers a clear and engaging look at what drone spraying can — and cannot — do for modern agriculture.

1. What Is Drone Spraying?

Drone spraying refers to the use of specially designed unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) equipped with spray tanks and nozzles to apply liquid inputs like pesticides, herbicides, fungicides, micronutrients, and fertilizers over crops. These drones are usually electric and can be programmed with waypoints to automatically cover fields with high precision.

Key features of drone spraying:

- GPS-guided flight paths
- Variable speed and altitude control
- Tanks ranging from 5 to 30 liters
- Precision release nozzles
- Sensor integration for scanning crop health

Unlike hobby or photography drones, agricultural spray drones are rugged and built for farm environments. Simply put, they replace traditional ground or manned aerial spraying with a robotic platform that can work quickly and accurately from above.

2. Conventional Spraying Methods: An Overview

Before comparing drone spraying, it's

Amita[1], Dr. Lalit Kumar Sanodiya[2], Aviral Singh Yadav[3], Aditya Kushwaha[4], Ankit Singh Patel[5]
[1,3,4&5] PG Scholar, [2]Assistant Professor
Department of Agronomy, Prof. Rajendra Singh (Rajju bhaiya) University, UP. 211010

important to understand what "conventional" spraying means. There are three major conventional methods:

a. Manual or Knapsack Sprayers

These are backpacks filled with spray liquid. A handheld pump and nozzle distribute the spray across crop rows.

Pros:

- ☞ Low equipment cost
- ☞ Common among small farmers

Cons:

- ☞ Physically demanding work
- ☞ Slow coverage
- ☞ Higher risk of chemical exposure

b. Tractor-Mounted or Boom Sprayers

Used on larger farms, tractors tow sprayers that deliver liquid through wide booms.

Pros:

- ☞ Faster than manual spraying
- ☞ Good for large straight fields

Cons:

- ☞ Not suitable for uneven terrain
- ☞ Soil compaction
- ☞ Possible crop damage

c. Aerial Spraying with Manned Aircraft

Fixed-wing planes or helicopters spray large farms quickly from the air.

Pros:

- ☞ Extremely fast over large areas

Cons:

- ☞ Very high cost
- ☞ Limited precision
- ☞ Weather restrictions

Each method has its place in agriculture, but all come with trade-offs in cost, labor, safety, and efficiency.

3. Efficiency: Drones vs Conventional Methods

Coverage Speed and Precision

One of the biggest advantages touted for drone spraying is **precision**. A drone can fly planned paths and release spray only where needed, minimizing waste. In contrast:

- ⇨ **Manual sprayers** can miss spots and are inconsistent between workers.
- ⇨ **Tractors** may spray evenly, but they lack the fine control over spray patterns.
- ⇨ **Manned aircraft** spray broadly with little ability to target specific areas.

Studies show that drone spraying can achieve up to **90–95% coverage accuracy** within a field when properly programmed. Conventional methods may average **70–85%**, depending on operator skill and conditions.

Speed of Application

A single agricultural drone can spray around **10–30 acres per hour** (about 4–12 hectares), depending on model and conditions. Multiple drones can work in coordination for larger farms. For comparison:

- ☞ Manual sprayers: less than 1 acre per hour

- Tractor sprayers: 10–15 acres per hour
- Manned aircraft: 100+ acres per hour but at very high cost

While drones are slower than manned aircraft at large scales, they outperform manual and often tractor spraying in mixed, hilly, or small fields.

Time Savings and Planning

Drone spraying saves time in other ways too:

- No need to refill and carry heavy backpack tanks
- Automated flight paths reduce operator fatigue
- Real-time sensors provide data to adjust spraying

In contrast, conventional methods require constant human monitoring and repeated passes.

4. Cost Comparison

Costs in agriculture are critical. Farmers want high yields with minimal input costs — including spray application.

Upfront Investment

- **Drone Spraying:** Buying a sprayer drone can cost **$2,000–$20,000+** depending on size and technology.
- **Manual Sprayers:** Very inexpensive (often under $100), but labor costs are much higher.
- **Tractor Sprayer:** Cost runs into **tens of thousands of dollars** for equipment.
- **Aerial Spraying:** Renting aircraft can cost **hundreds per hour**.

Although the initial cost of a drone is higher than a knapsack sprayer, it's far lower than tractors and especially aerial spraying.

Operating Costs

With drones:

- Electricity (battery charging) is cheap
- Less chemical waste
- Minimal labor

With other methods:

- Diesel fuel for tractors
- Labor for manual sprayers
- Pilot and aircraft operation fees

Economic analyses suggest that **drone spraying becomes cost-effective after just a few seasons**, especially on medium-sized farms. Savings come from reduced chemical use, labor, and time.

5. Safety and Worker Health

Spraying chemicals is dangerous. Exposure to pesticides can cause skin irritation, respiratory problems, and long-term health issues.

How Drones Improve Safety

- Operators stay on the ground and away from direct exposure
- No need to walk through sprayed areas immediately after application
- Less human contact with toxic liquids

Conventional methods, especially manual spraying, expose workers to chemicals through:

- Breathing spray mist
- Skin contact with sprayed surfaces
- Carrying heavy tanks

Drones significantly reduce risk, which is especially important in regions with limited safety gear or labor laws.

6. Environmental Impact

Sustainability is a key concern in modern agriculture. Farmers and scientists both look for methods that:

- Minimize chemical runoff
- Protect beneficial insects
- Preserve soil and water quality

Precision Reduces Waste

Drone sprayers can be programmed to spray **only where needed**, avoiding over-application. This prevents:

- Chemical drift into neighboring fields
- Runoff into rivers and groundwater
- Harm to wildlife

In contrast:

- Manual sprayers often over-apply due to inconsistent coverage
- Tractor sprayers may spray non-crop areas
- Aerial spraying loses more spray to wind and drift

Research shows drones can reduce pesticide use by **15–30%** compared to conventional spraying — a big environmental advantage.

7. Terrain and Accessibility

Many farms are not flat, open fields. Hilly, uneven, or wet terrain can make conventional spraying difficult.

- **Manual sprayers:** Workers may struggle on slopes and wet soil
- **Tractors:** Heavy machines can get stuck and damage soil
- **Aircraft:** Not suitable for small, irregular fields

Drones excel in difficult terrain because:

- They fly above the ground
- No risk of soil compaction
- Easy access to tight spaces and crop edges

This makes drones especially useful for:

- Terraced farms
- Orchards and vineyards
- Irrigated rice paddies
- Remote plots

8. Crop Health Monitoring and Smart Spraying

One of the most exciting developments is integrating drones with sensors and AI:

- Multispectral cameras detect stressed areas
- NDVI and vegetation indices show crop health
- Spraying can be targeted to weak zones only

This is called **precision agriculture** — spraying only where needed, based on data — not guesswork.

Conventional methods cannot match this level of intelligence:

- Manual sprayers treat the entire field uniformly
- Tractor sprayers are blind to crop health data
- Aerial spraying has no real-time feedback

With drones, spraying becomes **data-driven**, improving outcomes while reducing costs and environmental damage.

9. Limitations of Drone Spraying

It is important to acknowledge that drone spraying is not a perfect solution.

Battery Life and Payload

- Batteries limit flight time to ~20–40 minutes per load
- Tanks are smaller than tractor boom sprayers
- Frequent landings to refill spray and change batteries slow overall coverage

Weather Sensitivity

- Strong wind reduces precision
- Heavy rain prevents safe flight
- Drones need calm conditions

Skill and Regulations

- Operators need training
- Many countries have strict drone flight rules
- Permits may be required

Large Farm Limitations

On very large farms, drones may not be as fast as manned aircraft. However, combining multiple drones or hybrid approaches can offset this.

10. What Farmers Are Saying

Farmers around the world — from India to the United States, Brazil to China — are adopting drone spraying. Here are common experiences:

- Drones save time and labor
- Reduced chemical bills
- Better coverage and target accuracy
- Improved worker safety
- Higher yields with precise spraying

However, many farmers suggest:

- More training needed
- Better battery life required
- Need for government support and subsidies

11. Future Prospects

Agricultural drones are still evolving. Future developments may include:

- Longer-lasting batteries
- Solar-powered drones
- Swarm spraying (multiple drones working together)
- Real-time AI detection of pests and diseases
- Integration with tractors and other smart tools

In the next decade, drones may become as common as tractors — especially in medium and small farms where conventional equipment is less efficient or too costly.

Conclusion

When it comes to **spraying efficiency**, agricultural drones offer a compelling alternative to conventional methods. They provide:

- ✓ Higher precision
- ✓ Lower environmental impact
- ✓ Improved safety
- ✓ Time and labor savings
- ✓ Data-driven decision-making

While conventional sprayers — manual, tractor, or aerial — still have a place in modern agriculture, the rise of drone spraying represents a technological shift. For many farmers, especially those with medium-sized or difficult terrain farms, drones uncover a new level of efficiency and sustainability.

As technology continues to improve and costs decline, drone spraying is poised to become a mainstream tool — helping farmers produce more with less, protect the environment, and ensure safer working conditions for agricultural workers worldwide.

References

1. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). (2018). *E-agriculture in action: Drones for agriculture*. FAO, Rome.
2. Zhang, C., & Kovacs, J. M. (2012). The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: A review. *Precision Agriculture*, 13(6), 693–712.
3. Huang, Y., Thomson, S. J., Hoffmann, W. C., Lan, Y., & Fritz, B. K. (2013). Development and prospect of unmanned aerial vehicle technologies for agricultural production management. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 6(3), 1–10.
4. Xue, J., & Su, B. (2017). Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: A review of developments and applications. *Journal of Sensors*, 2017, 1–17.
5. Qin, W., Xue, X., Zhou, L., Zhang, S., Sun, Z., & Kong, W. (2016). Effects of spraying parameters of unmanned aerial vehicle on droplets deposition distribution of maize canopy. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 32(24), 40–46.
6. Giles, D. K., & Billing, R. C. (2015). Deployment and performance of UAV spray systems. *Aspects of Applied Biology*, 132, 31–38.
7. Lan, Y., Chen, S., & Fritz, B. (2017). Current status and future trends of

precision agricultural aviation technologies. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 10(3), 1–17.

8. Roldán, J. J., del Cerro, J., Garzón-Ramos, D., Garcia-Aunon, P., Garzón, M., León, J., & Barrientos, A. (2015). Robots in agriculture: State of the art and practical experiences. *Service Robots and Robotics*, 67–80.

9. World Bank. (2020). *Agricultural innovation systems: An investment sourcebook*. World Bank Publications, Washington DC.

10. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India. (2021). *Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for use of drones in pesticide and nutrient application*. New Delhi.

11. Jain, R., & Singh, S. P. (2020). Use of drones in Indian agriculture: Opportunities and challenges. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 56(4), 1–7.

12. Mahmud, M. S. A., Abidin, M. S. Z., Mohamed, Z., Rahman, M. A., & Izzuddin, T. A. (2019). Multispectral imaging for precision agriculture drone application. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 8(1), 42–47.

13. OECD. (2019). *Digital opportunities for better agricultural policies*. OECD Publishing, Paris.

NEW ERA AGRICULTURE MAGAZINE