

## Marker-Assisted Selection in Breeding for Biotic Stress Resistance

<sup>1</sup>Sanjana Kumari, <sup>2</sup>Priyanka Kumari

### Introduction

In modern agriculture, the ability to breed crops resistant to biotic stress is paramount. Biotic stress, caused by living organisms such as bacteria, fungi, viruses, insects, and nematodes, poses a significant threat to global crop production and food security. Marker-assisted selection (MAS) is a molecular breeding technique that has revolutionized crop improvement by facilitating the precise selection of traits, including resistance to biotic stresses. This paper explores marker-assisted selection in breeding for biotic stress resistance, discussing its principles, applications, and potential for future advancements.

### Understanding Biotic Stress and Its Impact on Crop Breeding

Biotic stress refers to the damage caused by other living organisms, such as pathogens and pests, which interfere with the plant's growth, development, and yield. These stresses can lead to significant crop losses, reduced productivity, and economic challenges for farmers. Some common biotic stress

factors include:

- ☛ **Pathogens:** Bacteria, fungi, and viruses that cause diseases such as bacterial blight, rusts, and leaf spots.
- ☛ **Insects:** Pests like aphids, weevils, and bollworms that attack various crop parts.
- ☛ **Nematodes:** Microscopic worms that infest plant roots and impede nutrient uptake.

Given the diverse and evolving nature of biotic stress agents, traditional breeding methods have struggled to provide durable resistance. These methods often rely on phenotypic selection, which is labour-intensive, time-consuming, and less precise in identifying resistant traits. This has prompted the adoption of advanced molecular tools like marker-assisted selection.

### Overview of Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS)

Marker-assisted selection (MAS) is a technology that leverages molecular markers, such as DNA sequences, associated with specific traits to accelerate the breeding

<sup>1</sup>Sanjana Kumari, <sup>2</sup>Priyanka Kumari

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Vegetable Science), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour- 813210

<sup>2</sup>M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Vegetable Science), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour- 813210

process. In conventional breeding, selecting plants with desirable traits like biotic stress resistance was based solely on observable characteristics. However, MAS allows breeders to select plants based on their genetic makeup, using markers tightly linked to the resistance genes.

Key concepts in MAS include:

- ☛ **Molecular Markers:** Segments of DNA that indicate the presence of a specific gene or trait. Common types of markers include SSRs (Simple Sequence Repeats), SNPs (Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms), and RFLPs (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms).
- ☛ **Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL):** Regions in the genome that are linked to the expression of quantitative traits such as resistance to multiple pathogens.

MAS enhances traditional breeding by identifying individuals with desired traits early in the selection process, even at the seedling stage, reducing the need for field trials and phenotypic evaluations.

### Advantages of MAS Over Conventional Breeding

Marker-assisted selection offers several advantages over traditional breeding methods, particularly in the context of developing resistance to biotic stresses:

- ☛ **Precision:** MAS allows for the selection of specific genes or QTLs associated with resistance traits. This precision reduces the risk of unintended gene transfer and ensures that only beneficial traits are retained.

- ☛ **Time Efficiency:** MAS significantly shortens the breeding cycle by enabling early and accurate identification of resistant plants. This is particularly valuable in breeding crops with long life cycles.

- ☛ **Cost-Effectiveness:** Although the initial setup for MAS may be expensive, the long-term benefits, such as reduced field trials and faster development of resistant varieties, result in overall cost savings.

- ☛ **Pyramiding Resistance Genes:** One of the most powerful applications of MAS is gene pyramiding, where multiple resistance genes are stacked in a single plant. This offers more durable resistance to a wide range of biotic stresses.

### Applications of MAS in Biotic Stress Resistance

Marker-assisted selection has been successfully applied in the breeding of several crops to improve their resistance to biotic stress factors. The following sections highlight

key examples of its application in different crops:

### Rice

Rice (*Oryza sativa*) is a staple food for more than half of the world's population, making it a key target for biotic stress resistance breeding. MAS has been extensively used in rice breeding to incorporate resistance against various diseases such as:

☞ **Bacterial Blight:** Caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae*, bacterial blight is one of the most devastating rice diseases. The use of markers linked to the *Xa21*, *Xa13*, and *Xa7* resistance genes has enabled the development of rice varieties with improved resistance.

☞ **Blast Disease:** Caused by the fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*, blast disease is controlled through MAS by incorporating the *Pi* genes, such as *Pi-t* and *Pi-b*, into rice varieties.

MAS has also facilitated the pyramiding of multiple resistance genes, ensuring more durable and broad-spectrum resistance.

### Wheat

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is another major cereal crop vulnerable to biotic stresses such as rusts (leaf rust, stem rust, and stripe rust) and powdery mildew. MAS has been employed to develop wheat varieties with improved resistance to these diseases.

☞ **Leaf Rust:** Resistance to leaf rust, caused by *Puccinia triticina*, has been enhanced by markers associated with the *Lr34* and *Lr46* genes.

☞ **Stem Rust:** The emergence of the Ug99 strain of stem rust in East Africa has prompted the use of MAS to introduce resistance genes like *Sr2* and *Sr24* into wheat varieties.

The introduction of these resistance genes through MAS has been critical in safeguarding global wheat production from destructive rust pathogens.

### Maize

In maize (*Zea mays*), biotic stress factors such as insect pests and fungal diseases can significantly reduce yields. MAS has been instrumental in breeding maize varieties with enhanced resistance to:

☞ **Maize Lethal Necrosis (MLN):** A viral disease caused by the interaction of the maize chlorotic mottle virus (MCMV) and sugarcane mosaic virus (SCMV), MLN has been controlled by selecting for markers associated with resistance genes.

☞ **Insect Resistance:** Resistance to maize borers (such as *Ostrinia nubilalis*) has been enhanced through MAS by incorporating resistance genes such as *Bt1*.

MAS has thus played a pivotal role in developing maize varieties with improved resistance to both viral and insect threats.

### Challenges and Limitations of MAS

Despite the significant advantages and successes of MAS in breeding for biotic stress resistance, several challenges remain:

#### ☛ **Complexity of Resistance Traits:**

Many resistance traits are polygenic, involving multiple genes with small effects. Identifying and manipulating these genes is more complex than dealing with single-gene traits.

#### ☛ **Marker Saturation:** The availability of closely linked markers is crucial for the success of MAS. However, in some crops or traits, suitable markers may not be readily available or may not be tightly linked to the resistance genes.

#### ☛ **Cost and Infrastructure:** While MAS is cost-effective in the long run, the initial investment in molecular laboratories, skilled personnel, and genotyping platforms can be prohibitive, particularly in developing countries.

#### ☛ **Resistance Breakdown:** Pathogens and pests are constantly evolving, and resistance conferred by a single gene may be overcome by new strains or biotypes. This highlights the need for

continuous breeding efforts and the importance of gene pyramiding.

### Future Directions in MAS for Biotic Stress Resistance

The future of marker-assisted selection in breeding for biotic stress resistance holds exciting possibilities with the integration of new technologies:

#### ☛ **Genomic Selection (GS):** Unlike MAS, which focuses on a few known markers, genomic selection uses genome-wide markers to predict breeding values. This approach has the potential to accelerate the breeding process for complex traits like biotic stress resistance.

#### ☛ **CRISPR-Cas9:** Gene editing technologies such as CRISPR-Cas9 offer the ability to directly modify genes associated with resistance. Combined with MAS, CRISPR could provide a more precise and efficient method for developing resistant varieties.

#### ☛ **High-Throughput Phenotyping:** Advances in remote sensing, imaging, and artificial intelligence (AI) are enabling faster and more accurate phenotyping of plants under biotic stress. Coupled with MAS, these technologies can improve the accuracy and speed of resistance breeding.

## Conclusion

Marker-assisted selection has transformed the landscape of plant breeding, providing a powerful tool for the development of crops with enhanced resistance to biotic stress. Through the precise selection of resistance genes and the ability to pyramid multiple genes, MAS has contributed to the creation of more resilient and productive crop varieties. However, challenges such as the complexity of resistance traits and the evolution of pathogens highlight the need for continued research and innovation. The integration of MAS with emerging technologies like genomic selection and gene editing promises to further advance breeding for biotic stress resistance, contributing to global food security.

## References

1. Collard, B.C.Y., & Mackill, D.J. (2008). Marker-assisted selection: An approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1491), 557–572.
2. Xu, Y., & Crouch, J.H. (2008). Marker-assisted selection in plant breeding: From publications to practice. *Crop Science*, 48(2), 391–407.
3. Ribaut, J.M., & Ragot, M. (2007). Marker-assisted selection to improve drought adaptation in maize: The backcross approach, perspectives, limitations, and alternatives. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 58(2), 351–360.
4. Gupta, P.K., Rustgi, S., & Mir, R.R. (2008). Molecular markers and marker-assisted selection in crop plants. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*, 2, 341–358.