

The Enactment of Disease Resistance in Important Crops through Genomic Selection

Sourav Man^{*1}, Puja Sarkar² and Dr. Tulsi Saran Ghimiray³

Abstract: -

This article highlights the role of genomic selection (GS) in establishing disease resistance in major crops. Genomic selection employs genome-wide markers to predict disease-resistant phenotypes, bypassing the need for multiple phenotyping cycles and effectively managing complex, quantitative resistance traits. As disease pressure increases due to climate change, genomic selection emerges as a crucial tool for developing resilient crop varieties, ensuring sustainable productivity, and securing global food supply.

Introduction

Pathogens such as fungi, bacteria, and viruses pose significant threats to global food security by affecting crop yield, quality, and longevity. Traditionally, resistance breeding relies on phenotypic selection and markerassisted selection (MAS), but these approaches often struggle with polygenic traits like disease resistance. Genomic selection (GS) provides a solution by employing statistical models to predict the genetic potential of crop lines for disease resistance. This section introduces the concept of GS in the context of crop disease resistance and its advantages over traditional breeding methods.

Theoretical Framework: Understanding Genomic Selection for Disease Resistance

Genomic selection operates by using genome-wide markers to predict breeding values for complex traits without requiring phenotypic evaluation in each generation. GS has transformed breeding for quantitative traits, allowing breeders to predict disease resistance based on genetic profiles rather than observable traits. This framework enables faster selection cycles and reduces reliance on time-consuming and costly field trials.

1. Quantitative Disease Resistance: Explain how GS manages traits controlled by multiple loci, especially in cases where

Sourav Man^{*1}, Puja Sarkar² and Dr. Tulsi Saran Ghimiray³ ¹Ph.D. Research Scholar, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari-736165 ²Ph.D. Research Scholar, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari-736165 ³Professor, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Regional Research Station, Kalimpong, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pin- 734301

E-ISSN: 2583-5173



resistance is partial and not conferred by a single resistance gene.

2. Comparison **Marker-Assisted** with Selection (MAS): Differentiate GS from MAS, noting that while MAS targets specific markers linked to major resistance genes, GS uses dense markers across the genome, capturing additive effects of multiple small-effect loci. This is crucial for traits like disease resistance, where additive effects play a significant role.

Enactment of Disease Resistance through Genomic Selection

(GS)Genomic selection is a transformative method for breeding crops with resistance to various diseases caused by pathogens like fungi, bacteria, and viruses. Unlike traditional breeding, GS uses genomewide marker data to predict the disease resistance potential of individual plants. By R resistance. These models used genetic markers doing so, it allows breeders to select for resistance traits early in the breeding process, significantly reducing the time, labor, and resources needed to develop new, resilient varieties. This section delves into the practical applications of GS in different crops and the mechanisms by which it accelerates the enactment of disease resistance.

1. Case Studies in Major Crops

GS has been successfully employed in various staple crops to enhance resistance against prevalent diseases. Let's take a closer

look at how this method has been enacted in important crops like wheat, rice, and maize:

Wheat:

Wheat faces several diseases, most notably rusts-stem rust, stripe rust, and leaf rust-which can cause substantial yield losses. Traditional breeding methods involve multiple years of field testing to observe which lines resist these diseases, but GS speeds up this process by predicting which genotypes have genetic resistance. By identifying genomic markers linked to rust resistance and using these markers in prediction models, GS enables breeders to select resistant varieties without requiring field exposure to the pathogen in every generation.

For example, Rutkoski et al. (2015) found that GS models applied to wheat could significantly increase genetic gain for rust associated with resistance genes, such as the well-known Sr genes for stem rust, allowing breeders to focus on plants with strong resistance profiles. This not only reduced the breeding cycle but also facilitated the development of durable rust-resistant wheat varieties suitable for diverse environments.

Rice:

Rice suffers from blast disease, caused by the fungus Magnaporthe oryzae, which is a Traditional major problem worldwide. methods of breeding blast-resistant rice are



complicated due to the pathogen's genetic diversity and adaptability. GS, however, provides a solution by allowing for early selection of resistance traits across different genetic backgrounds. In rice, genomic prediction models incorporate data from genome-wide markers related to quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for blast resistance. Spindel et al. (2015) demonstrated that GS could predict resistance levels with high accuracy by capturing minor QTLs contributing to partial resistance. Through GS, rice breeders can select for multi-genic blast resistance, which is more durable and less likely to be overcome by evolving pathogen strains.

Maize:

Maize is affected by diseases such as northern corn leaf blight and gray leaf spot, which are influenced by complex genetic architectures involving numerous minor genes. JRE Mrequiring field exposure to pathogens, In these cases, GS allows for the selection of multiple small-effect alleles that collectively provide effective resistance.

Beyene et al. (2015) used GS to breed maize lines with enhanced resistance to leaf diseases in regions with high disease pressure, such as sub-Saharan Africa. By applying GS models to select for minor genes associated with resistance, they were able to develop maize varieties that exhibited resilience against multiple pathogens, providing stable yields in disease-prone environments.

2. Improved Breeding Efficiency and **Genetic Gain**

One of the biggest advantages of GS in breeding disease-resistant crops is the ability to improve breeding efficiency and genetic gain. By focusing on genome-wide data rather phenotypic expression, GS allows than breeders to:

Reduce Breeding Cycle Time: With GS, breeders can select resistant lines early, without waiting for plants to mature and exhibit disease symptoms. This cuts down the number of growth cycles needed, enabling breeders to bring resistant varieties to market faster.

Increase Selection Intensity: Since GS models predict resistance in early generations, breeders can screen and select a larger pool of candidates without thereby improving the selection intensity and allowing only the most resistant plants to continue in the breeding program.

Example Source: Rutkoski et al. (2011) estimated that GS halved the breeding cycle for wheat stem rust resistance, which doubled the rate of genetic gain. By bypassing the need for extensive field trials, they could rapidly incorporate resistance genes, ensuring that new wheat lines reached the fields much faster than through traditional methods.

3. Accelerated Multi-Trait Selection



A unique feature of GS is its ability to facilitate the selection of multiple traits, breeders to select for disease enabling resistance alongside other desirable characteristics such as yield, drought tolerance, and nutritional content. Multi-trait selection is especially important in real-world breeding, where crops face a combination of biotic (diseases, pests) and abiotic (drought, salinity) stresses.

- ⇒ Simultaneous Selection for Multiple **Resistances**: Some crops, like maize and wheat, are susceptible to more than one disease. GS models allow breeders to select for resistance to several diseases. simultaneously by incorporating multiple markers associated with different resistance traits. This reduces the need to perform sequential selections for each disease, saving both time and resources.LTURE Mcompared to single-gene resistance, which
- Yield-Resistance Balance: Breeders can also ensure that disease resistance traits do not compromise yield or other important traits, which can be a challenge in traditional breeding. GS captures both resistance genes and yield-related alleles, enabling the development of high-yielding varieties with robust resistance profiles.

Example Source: Jarquín et al. (2014) discussed the successful use of GS in maize to select for both disease resistance and yield, improving overall resilience. By using reaction norm models, they incorporated environmental data into the GS process, achieving robust predictions across different climates.

4. Enhanced Adaptability Climate to Change

As pathogens evolve and climate change alters the frequency and distribution of crop diseases, GS provides a critical advantage by enabling rapid adaptation. GS models are adaptable and can incorporate new disease resistance markers as pathogens evolve or as new resistance sources are identified. This ensures that breeding programs remain flexible and responsive to emerging disease threats.

Ŧ **Durable Resistance**: By selecting for quantitative resistance (where multiple genes confer resistance), GS can produce crops with more durable resistance

pathogens can more easily overcome. This durable resistance is especially valuable in the context of climate change, where disease pressures are expected to intensify.

Environmental Prediction Accuracy: GS Advanced models incorporate environmental factors, allowing breeders to select lines that exhibit stable resistance across various climatic zones. This makes GS a powerful tool in developing climateresilient, disease-resistant crop varieties.

Challenges and Future Directions



1. Data Complexity and Computational Resources

The requirement for dense marker coverage and advanced statistical models necessitates significant computational resources. The need for extensive genotypic data and complex statistical modeling limits the accessibility of GS in low-resource settings.

2. Prediction Accuracy and Environmental Variability

While GS is promising, maintaining prediction accuracy across varied environments poses challenges. To address this, breeders are exploring multi-environment trials and machine learning algorithms that can adjust GS models for location-specific factors.

3. Integrating Emerging Technologies

Future GS programs may integrate machine learning and artificial intelligence to R challenges. Through the application of GS in further refine prediction models, increasing resilience to diseases under different climate scenarios. These technologies can improve GS model accuracy by incorporating real-time environmental data and adjusting for unpredictable climate factors.

Conclusion

Genomic selection has proven to be a transformative tool in breeding for disease resistance in major crops like wheat, rice, and maize. By utilizing genome-wide markers, GS accelerates the selection of disease-resistant

varieties, increases genetic gain, and enhances breeding efficiency. Although challenges remain in terms of data handling and environmental prediction accuracy, ongoing advancements in computational biology and AI promise to further optimize GS for disease resistance. As GS becomes more accessible and refined, it will play a central role in developing resilient crop varieties, thereby contributing to global food security in the face of increasing disease pressures.

In summary, genomic selection has brought unprecedented precision and speed to the development of disease-resistant crops. By enabling the early, efficient selection of complex, quantitative resistance traits, GS accelerates genetic gain and helps create resilient crop varieties that can withstand evolving pathogen pressures and climate wheat, rice, maize, and other essential crops, breeders can deliver improved varieties to farmers faster, contributing to global food security in an increasingly unpredictable agricultural landscape.

continued With advancements in genomic technologies and statistical models, GS is poised to become a cornerstone of sustainable disease breeding, resistance transforming how we approach crop resilience and productivity for the future.



References

- 1. Beyene, Y., Semagn, K., Mugo, S., Tarekegne, A., Babu, R., Meisel, B., & Prasanna, B. M. (2015). Genetic gains grain yield through genomic in selection in eight bi-parental maize populations under drought stress. Crop Science, 55(1),154-163. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2014.07. 0460
- 2. Heffner, E. L., Sorrells, M. E., & Jannink, J. L. (2009). Genomic selection for crop improvement. Crop Science. 49(1), 1 - 12.https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2008.08. 0512
- 3. Hickey, J. M., Chiurugwi, T., Mackay, I., & Powell, W. (2017). Genomic prediction unifies animal and plant breeding programs to form platforms JRE MGC309:1N https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437for biological discovery. Nature Genetics, 49(9). 1297-1303. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3920
- 4. Jarquín, D., Crossa, J., Lacaze, X., Cheyron, P., Daucourt, J., Lorgeou, J., & Dusselier, M. (2014). A reaction norm model for genomic selection using high-dimensional genomic and environmental data. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 127(3), 595-607. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2243-1

- 5. Poland, J., & Rife, T. W. (2012). Genotyping-by-sequencing for plant breeding and genetics. The Plant 5(3), 92-102. Genome, https://doi.org/10.3835/plantgenome20 12.05.0005
- 6. Rutkoski, J., Poland, J., Jannink, J.-L., & Sorrells, M. E. (2015). Genomic selection for resistance to stem rust in wheat. The Plant Genome, 8(2), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3835/plantgenome20 14.10.0074
- 7. Spindel, J. E., Begum, H., Akdemir, D., Collard, B., Redoña, E., Jannink, J.-L., & McCouch, S. R. (2015). Genome-wide prediction models that incorporate de novo GWAS are a powerful new tool for tropical rice improvement. *Heredity*, 123(3), 297-

018-0164-z