NEW ERA AGRICULTURE MAGAZINE

Genomic Selection in Plant Breeding

[1]Yogesh S. Borde, [2]Shweta L. Lagad, [3]Mahesh D Patil, [4]Anup Gadekar, [5]Mahesh V. Lakade

Introduction:

Plant breeding has been a cornerstone of agricultural advancement for thousands of years. Traditional breeding methods rely on the phenotypic selection of plants, which is often time-consuming and limited by environmental influences and genetic complexities. However, the advent of genomic selection (GS) has revolutionized plant breeding by enabling the prediction of a plant's genetic potential based on its genome-wide markers. Genomic selection accelerates the breeding process, increases precision, and has the potential to address global challenges such as food security and climate change. This article explores the principles of genomic selection, recent advancements in the field, its applications, and the challenges and future directions of this transformative technology.

1. The Concept of Genomic Selection

Genomic selection is a form of marker-assisted selection (MAS) that uses genome-wide markers to predict the genetic value of breeding candidates. Unlike traditional MAS, which focuses on a few markers associated with specific traits, genomic selection leverages thousands of markers distributed across the entire genome to capture the effects of all relevant genes.

The foundation of genomic selection lies in the statistical prediction models, which estimate the breeding value of individuals based on their genotype at numerous loci. The primary advantage of GS is its ability to predict complex traits controlled by multiple genes, such as yield, drought tolerance, and disease resistance. This holistic approach allows breeders to select the best candidates earlier in the breeding cycle, thereby reducing the time and resources needed to develop new crop varieties.

2. Methodologies in Genomic Selection

The process of genomic selection involves several key steps:

[1]Yogesh S. Borde, [2]Shweta L. Lagad, [3]Mahesh D Patil, [4]Anup Gadekar, [5]Mahesh V. Lakade

[1]PhD Scholar, Department of Genetic and Plant Breeding, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri
[2]PhD Scholar, Department of Genetic and Plant Breeding, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani
[3]PG Scholar, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Badnapur (VNMKV, Parbhani)
[4]PG Scholar, Horticulture Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur (Dr PDKV, Akola),
[5]PhD Scholar, Dept. of Seed Science and Technology, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri

2.1.Genotyping:

Genotyping is the first step in genomic selection, where the genetic material of a large population of plants is analyzed to identify variations at numerous loci across the genome. High-throughput genotyping technologies, such as genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays, are commonly used to obtain genome-wide marker data (Elshire et al., 2011).

2.2.Phenotyping:

Accurate phenotyping is crucial for developing robust prediction models. Phenotyping involves measuring the traits of interest in a representative training population, which is used to train the prediction model. The accuracy of phenotypic data directly impacts the reliability of genomic predictions (Cobb et al., 2013).

2.3.Model Development:

Once genotypic and phenotypic data are collected, statistical models are developed to predict the genetic value of individuals. Common models used in GS include Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (BLUP), Bayesian approaches, and machine learning techniques. These models estimate the effects of all markers simultaneously, allowing for the prediction of breeding values (Crossa et al., 2017).

2.4.Selection and Breeding:

The genomic estimated breeding values (GEBVs) generated by the models are used to select the best individuals for breeding. These selections are then used to develop the next generation of plants, focusing on those with the highest predicted performance for the traits of interest.

3. Recent Advancements in Genomic Selection

Recent years have seen significant advancements in genomic selection, driven by improvements in genotyping technologies, computational tools, and our understanding of plant genomes.

3.1. High-Density Genotyping Platforms:

The development of high-density SNP arrays and next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies has made it possible to generate more comprehensive genomic data at a lower cost. These advancements have increased the accuracy of genomic predictions and expanded the applicability of GS to a wider range of crops (Varshney et al., 2014).

3.2. Genomic Selection in Polyploid Crops:

Polyploidy, where plants have more than two sets of chromosomes, poses a challenge for genomic selection due to the complexity of their genomes. However, recent advancements in genotyping and statistical modeling have enabled the successful application of GS in polyploid crops such as wheat, potato, and sugarcane (Endelman et al., 2018).

3.3. Integration with Multi-Omics Data:

The integration of genomic data with other "omics" data, such as transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, has enhanced the predictive power of GS models. Multi-omics approaches provide a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying complex traits, leading to more accurate predictions (Huang et al., 2015).

3.4. Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence:

The application of machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) in genomic selection has opened new avenues for improving prediction accuracy. Advanced ML algorithms, such as random forests, support vector machines, and deep learning, can capture complex, non-linear relationships between markers and traits, offering improved prediction performance compared to traditional statistical models (Montesinos-López et al., 2021).

4. Applications of Genomic Selection in Crop Improvement

Genomic selection has been successfully applied to a wide range of crops, leading to significant improvements in yield, disease resistance, and other agronomic traits.

4.1. Yield Improvement:

One of the most critical applications of GS is in improving crop yield, which is a complex trait influenced by numerous genes and environmental factors. For example, genomic selection has been used in maize breeding programs to increase grain yield under both optimal and stressed conditions, leading to the development of high-yielding hybrids (Beyene et al., 2015).

4.2. Disease Resistance:

Genomic selection has also been instrumental in breeding for disease resistance, particularly in crops like wheat, rice, and soybean. By predicting resistance to multiple diseases based on genome-wide markers, GS has accelerated the development of resistant varieties and reduced the reliance on chemical control measures (Heffner et al., 2011).

4.3. Abiotic Stress Tolerance:

The ability to tolerate abiotic stresses such as drought, heat, and salinity is increasingly important in the context of climate change. Genomic selection has been used to identify and select for alleles associated with stress tolerance in crops like rice and wheat, enabling the development of resilient varieties that can thrive under adverse environmental conditions (Varshney et al., 2011).

4.4. Nutritional Quality Enhancement:

In addition to yield and stress tolerance, GS has been applied to improve the nutritional quality of crops. For instance, genomic selection has been used to increase the content of essential micronutrients such as iron, zinc, and provitamin A in staple crops like maize and rice, contributing to the global fight against malnutrition (Velu et al., 2014).

5. Challenges in Genomic Selection

Despite its successes, genomic selection faces several challenges that must be addressed to realize its full potential.

5.1. High Cost of Genotyping:

While the cost of genotyping has decreased significantly, it remains a barrier for resource-limited breeding programs, particularly in developing countries. Efforts to reduce costs further and develop low-cost genotyping platforms are essential for the widespread adoption of GS (Crossa et al., 2017).

5.2. Complexity of Polygenic Traits:

Many agronomically important traits are polygenic, controlled by multiple genes with small effects. Accurately predicting these traits using GS requires large training populations and extensive phenotypic data, which can be challenging to obtain (Rutkoski et al., 2016).

5.3. Genotype-by-Environment Interactions:

Genotype-by-environment (GxE) interactions complicate genomic predictions, as the performance of a genotype can vary significantly across different environments. Developing models that account for GxE interactions is crucial for improving the accuracy of GS under diverse growing conditions (Jarquin et al., 2014).

5.4. Ethical and Regulatory Considerations:

The use of genomic selection, particularly in conjunction with gene editing technologies, raises ethical and regulatory concerns. The potential for unintended consequences, such as the loss of genetic diversity and the impact on non-target species, must be carefully managed through robust regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines (Morrell et al., 2012).

6. Future Directions and Research Needs

The future of genomic selection in plant breeding is promising, with several areas poised for further research and development.

6.1. Expanding the Application of GS:

While genomic selection has been widely adopted in major crops, its application in orphan crops and underutilized species remains limited. Expanding the use of GS to these crops can enhance food security and biodiversity, particularly in regions where these species are staple foods (Varshney et al., 2011).

6.2. Improving Prediction Models:

Continued research into improving prediction models, particularly for polygenic traits and complex GxE interactions, will enhance the accuracy and reliability of GS. Integrating advanced machine learning techniques and multi-omics data will be key to achieving this goal (Montesinos-López et al., 2021).

6.3. Enhancing Genotyping Technologies:

The development of more cost-effective and accessible genotyping technologies will be critical for the broader adoption of genomic selection. Innovations such as nanopore sequencing and other third-generation sequencing technologies hold promise for reducing costs and increasing throughput (Goodwin et al., 2016).

6.4. Ethical and Sustainable Implementation:

Ensuring the ethical and sustainable implementation of genomic selection requires a multi-stakeholder approach, involving breeders, policymakers, and the public. Addressing concerns related to genetic diversity, environmental impact, and social equity will be crucial for the long-term success of GS (Morrell et al., 2012).

Conclusion

Genomic selection represents a powerful tool in modern plant breeding, offering the potential to accelerate the development of improved crop varieties with enhanced yield, disease resistance, stress tolerance, and nutritional quality. While significant progress has been made, challenges related to cost, complexity, and ethical considerations remain. Addressing these challenges through continued research, technological innovation, and collaborative efforts will be essential to harnessing the full potential of genomic selection in ensuring global food security and sustainability.

References

1. Beyene, Y., Semagn, K., Mugo, S., Tarekegne, A., Babu, R., Meisel, B., ... & Crossa, J. (2015). "Genomic selection improves maize breeding in drought-stress environments." Molecular Breeding, 35(1), 1-13.
2. Cobb, J. N., DeClerck, G., Greenberg, A., Clark, R., & McCouch, S. (2013). "Next-generation phenotyping: requirements and strategies for enhancing our understanding of genotype-phenotype relationships and its relevance to crop improvement." Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 126(4), 867-887.
3. Crossa, J., Pérez-Rodríguez, P., Cuevas, J., Montesinos-López, O., Jarquín, D., de Los Campos, G., ... & Singh, R. P. (2017). "Genomic selection in plant breeding: methods, models, and perspectives." Trends in Plant Science, 22(11), 961-975.
4. Elshire, R. J., Glaubitz, J. C., Sun, Q., Poland, J. A., Kawamoto, K., Buckler,

E. S., & Mitchell, S. E. (2011). "A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species." PLoS One, 6(5), e19379. Link

5. Endelman, J. B., Carley, C. A., Bethke, P. C., Coombs, J. J., Douches, D. S., Halterman, D., ... & Jansky, S. H. (2018). "Genomic selection in a tetraploid crop: Empirical results and simulations in potato." The Plant Genome, 11(3), 170090.
6. Heffner, E. L., Sorrells, M. E., & Jannink, J. L. (2011). "Genomic selection for crop improvement." Crop Science, 49(1), 1-12.
7. Huang, X., Han, B., & Huang, T. (2015). "Exploring genetic interactions by multi-omics data integration." Methods, 79, 20-28.
8. Jarquin, D., Specht, J., & Lorenz, A. (2014). "Prospects of genomic prediction in the USDA soybean germplasm collection: historical data creates robust models for enhancing selection of accessions." G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 4(11), 2107-2118. Link
9. Montesinos-López, O. A., Montesinos-López, A., Crossa, J., de Los Campos, G., Alvarado, G., Vallejo, R. E., & Rosas, J. E. (2021). "A benchmarking between deep learning, support vector machine and Bayesian threshold best linear unbiased prediction for predicting ordinal traits in plant breeding." G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 11(3), jkaa050. Link
10. Morrell, P. L., Buckler, E. S., Ross-Ibarra, J., & Gaut, B. S. (2012). "Crop genomics: advances and applications." Nature Reviews Genetics, 13(2), 85-96.
11. Rutkoski, J., Poland, J., Mondal, S., Autrique, E., Pérez, L. G., Crossa, J., ... & Singh, R. P. (2016). "Canopy temperature and vegetation indices from high-throughput phenotyping improve accuracy of pedigree and genomic selection for grain yield in wheat." G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 6(9), 2799-2808. Link
12. Varshney, R. K., Terauchi, R., & McCouch, S. R. (2014). "Harvesting the promising fruits of genomics: applying genome sequencing technologies to crop breeding." PLoS Biology, 12(6), e1001883. Link
13. Velu, G., Ortiz-Monasterio, I., Cakmak, I., Hao, Y., & Singh, R. P. (2014). "Biofortification strategies to increase grain zinc and iron concentrations in wheat." Journal of Cereal Science, 59(3), 365-372.