

QTL Mapping in crop improvement

Raja Bhaiya, Aman Srivastava, Sonali Srivastava, Anamish Tyagi, Jainendra Pratap, Javed,

Introduction:

A QTL is defined as “a region of the genome that is associated with an effect of a quantitative trait.” So a QTL can be a single gene, or it may be a cluster of linked genes that affect the traits. QTL mapping studies have reported in most of the crop for plants for diverse traits like yield, quality disease and insect pest resistance, abiotic stress tolerance and environmental adaptation.

Principles of QTL Mapping

QTL analysis is based on the principle of detecting an association between phenotype and the genotype of markers. The markers are used to partition the mapping population in to different genotypic classes based on genotypes at the marker locus, and apply the **correlative** statistics to determine whether the individual of one genotype differ significantly with the individuals of other genotype with respect to the trait under study. A significant difference between phenotypic means of the two / more groups depending on the marker system and type of population indicates that the marker locus being used to partition the mapping population is linked to a QTL controlling the

trait. A significant P value obtained for the differences between the marker and QTL is due to recombination. The closer a marker is from a QTL, the lower the chance of recombination occurring between marker and QTL. Therefore, the QTL and marker will be usually be inherited together in the progeny, and the mean of the group with the tightly-linked marker will be significantly different ($P < 0.05$) to the mean of the group without the marker. When a marker is loosely-linked or unlinked to a QTL, there is independent segregation of the marker and QTL. In this situation, there will be no significant difference between means of the genotype groups based on the presence or absence of the loosely linked marker.

Steps in QTL Mapping

The various steps in the identification and characterization of quantitative trait loci (QTL) for use in marker assisted selection are presented below. The process of QTL mapping involves the four major steps, which were discussed below under following subheadings.

Developing of mapping population:

A suitable mapping population generated from

*Raja Bhaiya, Aman Srivastava, Sonali Srivastava, Anamish Tyagi, Jainendra Pratap, Javed,
Research Scholar, Dep. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Acharya Narendra Deva University of
Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, U.P.*

phenotypically contrasting parents is prerequisite for QTL mapping (E.g.: highly resistant and susceptible lines). The parental lines used in development of mapping population should be genetically diverse, which enhance the possibility of identifying a large set of polymorphic markers that are well distributed across the genome. Several different populations may be utilized for mapping within given plants species. With each population type possessing advantages and disadvantages. The mapping population could vary based on the objective of study, the time frame line and resources available for undertaking QTL mapping. The ability to detect QTL in F₂ or F₂ derived populations and RILs are relatively higher than other mapping population. The F₂:3 families have the advantage that it is possible to measure the effects of additive and dominant gene actions at specific loci. The RILs are essentially homozygous and only additive gene action can be measured, the advantage with RILs is that the experiments can be performed at several locations in multiple years. The size of the mapping population for QTL analysis depends on several factors viz., type of mapping population used for QTL analysis, genetic nature of the target trait, objective of the study, and resources available for handling a sizable mapping population in terms of phenotyping and genotyping. From the practical point of

view, the purpose of QTL mapping is to detect the QTL, with major effects, and it is possible only when large number of individuals say 500 or more being used for QTL analysis. So in general size of the mapping population is around 200-300 individuals.

Generating saturated linkage map:

Mapping means placing the markers in order, indicating the relative genetic distance between them and assaying them to their linkage groups on the basis of recombination values from all pair wise combination between the markers. Linkage map indicates the position and relative genetic distance between markers along chromosomes. We can analyze the segregation patterns for each of the markers by screening the mapping population using polymorphic molecular markers, which is referred as genotyping. A variety of molecular markers viz., RFLPs, RAPD, SSRs, AFLP, and SNPs etc have been used to identify individual QTLs and to find out effects and position of these QTLs.

Phenotyping of mapping population:

The target quantitative traits have to be measures as precisely as possible. Strictly speaking there should not be any missing data, but limited amounts of missing data can be tolerated. The missing data in the population causes the effective in the sample size and intern affect the power of QTL mapping. The data is pooled over location and replication to

obtain a single quantitative value for the line. It is also necessary to measure the target traits in experiments conducted in multiple location to have better understanding of the QTL x Environment interaction.

QTL detection using statistical tools:

The basic purpose of QTL mapping is to detect QTL, while minimizing the occurrence of false positive (Type I Error) i.e. declaring an association between a marker and QTL when in fact it does not exist. The tests for QTL or trait association are often performed by the following approaches:

A) Single marker approach, **B)** Simple interval mapping, **C)** Composite interval mapping, **D)** Multiple interval mapping.

Application of QTL

The introgression of QTLs into elite lines / germplasm, and marker-aided selection (MAS) for QTLs in crop improvement has to be undertaken in some of the crop like Maize, Tomato and Wheat. The plant breeders may need not to know the precise location of QTL as the QTL has large effect and can be introgressed using marker assisted back crossing (MABB). In Maize the QTLs with major effects which conferring resistance to downy mildew has been identified and transferred into CM139 elite but downy mildew- susceptible inbred line. QTLs so identified for diverse traits in different crops have been met in crop improvement specially

to enhance the yield and to develop disease resistance elite lines.

Utility and Prospects QTL mapping plays significant roles to identify genetic regions responsible to important phenotype variation. One of the common strategies of QTL mapping uses a large number of RILs, which are established for at least several generations of inbreeding (typically up to F₆ or F₇). QTL Information Despite lack of precise information about the molecular nature of the QTL, introgression of QTLs into elite lines or germplasm, and marker-assisted selection (MAS) for QTLs inbreeding could be undertaken in some crop plants such as maize, tomato and rice, with reasonable success. Plant breeders may not need to know the precise locations of the QTL, so long as the QTL has large effect, and can be introgressed using marker-assisted backcrossing. The methods available will enable them to pick such useful QTL, which could well have been missed by conventional phenotypic selection. Also, another important advantage of the markers is in the reduction of linkage drag during the introgression of QTL by backcrossing. At IARI, we have mapped and validated QTLs conferring resistance to downy mildew of maize (George et al., 2003; Nair et al., 2005) and 17 have recently transferred two major QTLs for downy mildew resistance into

CM139, an elite but downy mildew-susceptible inbred line.

Reference

1. George, M.L.C., Prasanna, B.M., Rathore, R.S., Setty, T.A.S., Kasim, F., Azrai, M., Vasal, S., Balla, O., Hautea, D., Canama, A., Regalado, E., Vargas, M., Khairallah, M., Jeffers, D. and Hoisington, D. (2003). Identification of QTLs conferring resistance to downy mildews of maize in Asia. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 107, 544-551.
2. Kearsy, M.J. and Farquhar, A.G.L. (1998). QTL analysis in plants; where are we now? *Heredity*, 80, 137-142.
3. Lander, E.S and Botstein D. (1989) mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage map. *Genetics*, 121, 185-199.
4. Nair, S.K., Prasanna, B.M., Garg, A., Rathore, R.S., Setty, T.A.S. and Singh, N.N. (2005). Identification and validation of QTLs conferring resistance to sorghum downy mildew (*Peronosclerosporasorghii*) and Rajasthan downy mildew (*P. heteropogoni*) in maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 110, 1384-1392.
5. Naz, A. A., Kunert, A., Lind, V., Pillen, K., and Léon, J. 2008. AB-QTL analysis in winter wheat: II. Genetic analysis of seedling and field re-sistance against leaf rust in a wheat advanced backcross population. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 116:1095-1104.
6. Stevens, B., Allen, N.J., Vazquez, L.E., Howell, G.R., Christopherson, K.S., Nouri, N., Micheva, K.D., Mehalow, A. K., Huberman, A. D., Stafford, B., Sher, A., Litke, A. M., Lambris, J. D., Smith, S. J., John, S. W. and Barres, B. A. (2007) The classical complement cascade mediates CNS synapse elimination. *Cell* 131:1164–1178.
7. Tanksley, S.D. (1993). mapping polygenes. *Annu. Rev. Genet*, 27, 205-233.