

"Unlocking the Potential of Molecular Marker Technology for Fruit Crop Improvement"


Homeshvari<sup>1</sup> and Badri Lal Nagar<sup>2</sup>

## **Introduction**

Until recently virtually all progress in both breeding and modern genetics have relied on the phenotypic or morphological assay. Development of molecular (DNA) markers has created a powerful and practicable tool to perform gene selection in plant breeding, although it is not a real gene selection but the best indirect selection for target genes at the DNA level. Markers are any trait of an organism that be identified with can confidence and relative ease, and can be followed in a mapping population or they can be defined as heritable entities associated with the economically important trait under the control of polygenes (Beckman and Soller, 1986). In traditional plant breeding, genetic diversity was usually diagnosed through observational selection. But now, with the development of molecular biology this work is determined at molecular level based on DNA changes and their effects on the phenotype. Once DNA was extracted from plant, changes in the samples are determined using PCR or hybridization and subsequent agarose or

acrylamide gel electrophoresis (to recognize different molecules based on their size, chemical composition or charges). Genetic markers are used for labeling and tracking the genetic variations in DNA samples. These are biological compounds which can be determined by allelic variations and can be used as experimental probes or labels to track an individual. tissue. cell. nucleus. chromosomes or genes.

### **Types of Markers**



#### **Morphological marker**

Phenotypic features include morphological markers, sometimes known as "classical" or "visible" indicators. These are qualities that can be measured visually or that

#### Homeshvari<sup>1</sup> and Badri Lal Nagar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Fruit Science), College of Agriculture, J.N.K.V.V., Jabalpur, M.P.* 

<sup>2</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Rajmata Vijayraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya Gwalior. M.P.


E-ISSN: 2583-5173



have genetic markers whose inheritance can be observed with the unaided eye. Examples include flower color, seed form, growth patterns, illness response, pigmentation, etc. These easily recognizable and manipulable genetic variations are often represented by these physical markers. As a result, they are typically employed in the traditional twoand/or three point tests used to generate linkage maps. Some of these markers can be utilized as indirect selection criteria in practical breeding because they are connected to other agronomic features.

### Molecular marker

Molecular markers are any kind of molecule indicating the existence of a chemical or a physical process. Molecular markers include biochemical constituents (e.g., secondary metabolites in plants) and macromolecules (e.g., proteins and deoxyribonucleic acid) (Joshi et al., 1999). These macromolecules show easily detectable differences among different strains of a species or among different species. Strauss et al. (1992) distinguished the molecular markers into two classes.



E-ISSN: 2583-5173

Volume-2, Issue-9, February, 2024




crops

# NEW ERA AGRICULTURE MAGAZINE

Table 1: Comparison of the Five Widely used DNA Markers in Plants					
	RFLP	RAPD	AFLP	SSR	SNP
Genomic	Low copy	Whole	Whole genome	Whole	Whole
coverage	coding region	genome		genome	genome
Amount of DNA required	10 μg-50	100ng-1	100ng-1	120 ng-50	≥50 ng
Quality of DNA Required	High	Low	High	Medium- High	High
Level of Polymorphism	Medium	High	High	High	High
Inheritance	Co-dominant	Dominant	Dominant/Co- dominant	Co- dominant	Co-dominant
Reproducibility	High	Low to medium	High	High	High
Technically demanding	High	Low	Medium	Low	High

## **Applications of Molecular Markers in Fruit**



Biochemical molecular markers derived from the chemical products of gene expression i.e., proteinbased markers and molecular genetic markers derived from direct analysis of polymorphism in DNA sequences i.e., DNA based markers. The major disadvantages of morphological and biochemical markers are that they may be limited in number and are influenced by environmental factors or the developmental stage of the plant.

### E-ISSN: 2583-5173



## 1. Assessment of genetic diversity

A number of reports are available on the use for DNA markers to assess genetic diversity among species of several horticultural crops, as well as validation of genetic relatedness among them. This has significant application, especially for difficult to breed woody perennials. Using RAPD markers, the wide variability was observed in the mandarin germplasm present in N. E. Himalayas. In China using SSR markers, genetic diversity in mandarin landraces and wild races of mandarins, sweet orange, mandarins, grapefruit, lemon and citranges was resolved. Few examples of DNA markers used for assessment of genetic diversity are mentioned in Table 2.

Singly or in groups, molecular markers are capable of producing patterns that are unique for each individual genotype. Their patterns, whether they are generated by PCR or by hybridization with single copy, multi copy, or repeated sequences are referred to as genetic finger printings. Few examples of DNA markers used for varietal identification are mentioned in Table 3.

### 3. Disease diagnostics

Molecular markers have made it possible to develop diagnostic techniques to identify pathogen with an unprecedented accuracy and speed and to tap genes from as diverse sources as microbes, plants and animals to enable the researchers to develop plants resistant to diseases (Table 4).

		100		
Table No.: 2				
S. No.	Fruit	Marker Type	References	
1.	Apple	AFLP and RAPDs	Coart <i>et al.</i> (2003)	
2.	Avocado	Mini satellite DNA	Ashworth et al. (2003)	
3.	Banana	RAPDs	Brown <i>et al.</i> (2009)	
4.	Mango	ISSR and RAPDs	Bora <i>et al.</i> (2018)	
5.	Cashew	RAPD and ISSR	Thimmappaiah et al. (2009)	
6.	Pear	SSRs and AFLP	Sisko <i>et al.</i> (2009)	
7.	Peach	RAPD	Lu Zx et al. (1996)	

#### 2. Varietal identification

Varietal identification is nothing but DNA fingerprinting.

#### 4. Construction of linkage maps and QTL

#### mapping

One of the main applications of DNA

	Table No.: 3			
S. No.	Fruit	Marker Type	References	
1.	Raspberry	RAPD	Parent <i>et al.</i> (1993)	
2.	Apple	RAPD	Koller <i>et al.</i> (1993)	
3.	Grape	SSR	Thomas <i>et al.</i> (1993)	
4.	Lemon	RAPD	Deng et al. (1995)	
5.	Mango	RAPD	Schnell <i>et al.</i> (1995)	
6.	Peach	SSR	Swapnil <i>et al</i> . (2019)	

86

E-ISSN: 2583-5173

Volume-2, Issue-9, February, 2024



markers

types of crops.

# **NEW ERA AGRICULTURE** MAGAZINE

in agricultural research is the construction of linkage maps for different

feasible to identify, map and measure the effects of genes underlying quantitative trait. Numerous such reports have been provided

Table No.: 4				
Character	Fruit crops with population	Major gene	Markers linked	References
Brown spot disease (Alternaria alternata)	Clementine ×LB-8-10 (Clementine× Minneola)	Aa M1/ aaM1	P12 (15.3 cM) and AL3 (36.7 cM) (RAPDs)	Dalkilic <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Eastern filbert blight (Anisogramma anomala)	Hazelnut OSU 245.098×OSU 408.040	-	5 AFLP markers B2-125 at 4.1 cm	Chen <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Citrus tristeza virus Sharka disease	Different citrus hybrids Apricot (Padre ×54P455)	Ctv-R Y	RAPDs	Cristofani <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Peach root knot nematodes resistance	Peach cv. 'Juseitou'	Мј	STS-834b	Yamamoto and Hayashi (2002)

Linkage maps are used to identify chromosomal regions that contain single gene traits (controlled by a single gene), and quantitative traits using QTL analysis. Many important heritable characters are a consequence of the joint action of several genes. Such characters are often referred to as polygenic or quantitative. Several characters of plant species, among which are traits of agronomic importance, inherited are quantitatively. Yield, maturity date and drought tolerance are examples of such characters. The genetic loci for such characters have been referred to as quantitative trait loci (OTLs). The essential feature which makes feasible the finding and characterization of a QTL is its linkage with a known marker locus segregating with Mendelian ratios. DNA markers provide this opportunity by making it

about DNA markers linked to the genes or **QTLs**.

### 5. Marker assisted selection (MAS)

This is one of the important applications of molecular markers. Molecular potentially markers 🤇 can 📕 increase the importance and usefulness of indirect selection in plant breeding. MAS permits the breeder to make earlier decisions about the further selections while examining fewer plants. An added advantage in breeding for disease resistance behaviour is that this could be done in the absence of pathogen once marker information is available. Earlier markers were being developed for monogenic traits but present markers are developed for traits governed by multigenes or polygenes (Tab. 9). It was previously thought that markers which were tightly linked to the genes or QTLs in



primary QTL mapping, can be used directly in MAS.

Molecular marker -assisted breeding also called molecular-assisted (MAB), breeding, is the application of molecular biotechnologies, specifically DNA markers, in combination with linkage maps and genomics, to alter and improve plant or animal traits on the basis of genotypic assays (Jiang, 2013). This term is used to describe several novel breeding strategies, including marker -assisted selection (MAS), marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC), marker-assisted recurrent selection (MARS), and genome wide selection (GWS) or genomic selection (GS) (Ribaut et al., 2010). MAB is regarded as a novel strategy and a powerful methodology for genetic improvement of crop plants, and up to now it has been extensively used in multiple crop species (Jiang, 2013; Xu, 2010). In terms of the resources invested and the expectations presented, however, MAB has not yet been very successful.

### 6. Marker assisted pyramiding

The main advantage of molecular markers in gene pyramiding is their ability to search and discover multiple genes in plants whose phenotypic effects are difficult to be separated. The most widely application of pyramiding is the integration of several genes for disease resistance (i.e., integration of qualitative resistance genes) into a single genotype. The motivation of this work is to develop "durable" or stable resistance to a disease, because pathogens usually overcome single-gene resistance over time due to the emergence of new strains of plant pathogens. Some evidence suggests that the combination of multiple genes (effective against certain strains of the pathogen) can provide durable resistance (broad spectrum resistance). In the past, pyramiding of multiple resistance genes was difficult because they generally had a similar phenotype. Using linked DNA markers, the number of resistance genes per plant can be easily determined. Inserting the quantitative

		Table No.: 5	
Fruit	Trait	Marker Type	References
Apple	Fire blight resistance	SCAR, SSR	Sylwia <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Citrus	Citrus leprosies virus resistance	AFLP and RAPD	Bastianel et al. (2009)
Banana	Sugar content Seedlessness,	RFLP, AFLP, SSR,	Ming <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Strawberry	Day-neutrality	AFLP	Weebadde et al. (2008)
Apricot	Plum Pox Virus	SSR	Soriano <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Sour Cherry	QTL analysis of flower and fruits	RFLP	Wang <i>et al</i> . (2010)

E-ISSN: 2583-5173

Volume-2, Issue-9, February, 2024



resistance (which is controlled by QTLs) offers another promising strategy for durable disease resistance.

# 7. <u>Markers to detect somaclonal variation</u> in tissue cultured fruit plants

In micropropagation programme, true to type are required. Somaclonal variations in these cases are undesirable. In banana, somaclonal variants were reported. Variants can be detected by RAPD, AFLP and cytological studies.

# 8. <u>Marker for gender identification (Sex-</u> <u>linked markers in dioecious plants)</u>

Papaya sex can be identified at an early stage using RAPD, SCAR, ISSR (a single gene is responsible for the sex determination mechanism). In India ICAR has been supporting projects on DNA fingerprinting in a number of institutes. Some of which are shown in Table 6.

Table No.: 6				
Institute	Сгор	Work		
IIHR	Mango, Citrus, Pomegranate.			
CPCRI- Kasargod	Coconut	<ul> <li>i. DNA fingerprinting of all major coconut accessions, hybrids and high yielding palms using RFLP, RAPD markers</li> <li>ii. Development of molecular markers linked with important traits especially root wilt disease resistance/tolerance and drought tolerance.</li> </ul>		
NRC- Trichy	Banana	<ul><li>i. Marker aided selection for important traits</li><li>ii. DNA finger printing of new Musa clones</li></ul>		
CISH- Lucknow	Mango	i. DNA finger printing for identification and analysis of existing genotypes, promising new hybrids and clones of mango		

# **AGRICULTURE MAGAZINE**